Gating System Design Optimization for Injection Moulding

¹Dr. M P Singh, ²Akhilesh Jaguri

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jagannath University, Jaipur, India ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jagannath University, Jaipur, India

Abstract: Injection moulding process is a vast and advantageous process in the field of science and technology. Injection moulding process gives birth to vast application which replaces not only industrial products and parts but also capture home appliances and the products of our day to day life. But from the technology point of view we are still using hit and trial methods. In industries, workmen and craftsmen who are engaged in these operations are only expertise but to a certain extent they are also dependent on hit and trial methods which sometimes increases the manufacturing cost of the product. There is a need to develop a technology which guides the designer that what methods he has to follow to design a particular product. There are basically three step in the injection moulding cycle. Firstly, design of sprue which are tapered to increase the rate of flow. Secondly, design of runner whose efficiency is pre determined and fixed. Efficiency here refer to smoothness or least obstruction provided to the flow material. Thirdly, selection of the gate which pour the flow material finally into the cavity. Selection of gate is quite a difficult task for the designer; only skilled crafts-men can handle easily. Now again the problem arrives to assemble these the three steps according to the type of cavity. In this paper i tried to develop a system with the help of CAD/CAM which facilitates the user to work on injection moulding operations starting from the cavity to the selection of gates and then to the efficiency of runner and finally to sprue. This results time reduction in designing process and to a certain extent reduces manufacturing cost also.

Keywords: Moulding, Sprue, Lead time.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a manufacturing process for producing parts in which thermoplastic and thermosetting plastic materials are used. Raw material is feed into a heated barrel, where it cools and hardens to get desired configuration and shape of the cavity. Mold maker (or toolmaker) is used to make molds from metal (usually either steel or aluminum) and precision-machined to form the desired part. For manufacturing a variety of parts injection molding is used.



Fig:-1 Injection moulding machine

Process characteristics:

- A ram or screw-type plunger to force molten plastic material into a mould cavity.
- Uses thermoplastic or thermo set materials.
- Produces a parting line, spruce, and gate marks.
- Ejector pin marks are usually present-
- Produces a solid or open-ended shape.

Applications:

Injection moulding is the most common method and best method of part manufacturing. It is ideal for producing large volumes of the same object. Injection moulding is used to make many

things such as pocket combs, wire spools, bottle caps, packaging, automotive dashboards, mechanical parts, and most other plastic products available in a variety of forms. Some advantages of injection moulding are high production rates, repeatable high tolerances, and the ability to use a wide range of materials, low labour cost, and minimal scrap losses.

Injection Process:



Fig:-2 Hopper, nozzle and die area shown in Small injection molder

In process of injection molding, from a hopper granular plastic is fed by gravity into a heated barrel. By a screw plunger the granules are moved forward, the plastic is forced into a heated chamber, where it melts completely. When the plunger moves forward, through a nozzle the melted plastic is forced in, letting it to enter the cavity through a gate and runner system. As the plastic solidifies the mold remains cold almost as it is completely filled.

Cycle of Injection Molding:

The injection molding cycle is the sequence of events that occur during the injection mould of a plastic part. With the mould closing the cycle begins, followed by injecting the polymer into the cavity. A holding pressure is maintained when the cavity is filled, to compensate for material shrinkage operation. The screw turns, feeding the next shot to the front screw- in the next step of the cycle. The mould open and the part are ejected, once the part is cooled.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Methods of gating system design are categorized under followings steps which are numerated as follows:

STEP -1

Firstly-Surface Area, Volume and Type of material is calculated. This data is provided by the customer to the designer that what are the geometric properties of the product.

Secondly- velocity of flow is decided by the designer which is fixed for every material.

For Example Gate velocity = 5m/s to 30m/s

(Minimum for house hold product and max for ABS material)

Thirdly-No. of cavities are selected either it must be single cavity or multi cavity according to the demand of customer.

Fourthly, de-gating of gates are selected by the designer.

Material constant (n):

Group1	Polythene, polystyrene:	n=0.6
	$DENSITY = 1.05 \text{ gm/cm}^3$	
Group2	Polyacetal, polycarbonate:	n=0.7
-	DENSITY = 1.21 gm/cm^3	
Group3	Cellulose acetate, polymethyl methaacrylate,	n=0.8
	nylon	
	$DENSITY = 0.941 \text{ gm/cm}^3$	
Group4	PVC:	n=0.9
-	DENSITY = 1.38 gm/cm^3	

Table .1 Material types

STEP-2

Firstly gate area is calculated by:

Gate area: <u>
 Gate velocity</u>

Width of gate: $\frac{n*A}{30}$

Secondly, gate thickness is calculated by the relation

Gate thickness: Gate area Gate width

Now gate thickness determines the type of gate should be used for a particular type of cavity.

Flow chart for single cavity



International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial TechnologyISSN 2348-7593 (Online)Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (156-163), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Flow chart of multiple cavities:



STEP-3

In this step Runner is selected according to the performance efficiency.

It is being observed by designer that

Table .2.Materia	l types
------------------	---------

Туре	Priority (Acc. To efficiency)
Trapezoidal (D=W)	2 nd
Round (D)	3 rd
Modified Trapezoidal (R=D/2)	1 st
Hexagonal	4 th

Diameter of runner: =
$$\frac{\sqrt[2]{\text{weight of moulding}}}{3.7} * \sqrt[4]{\text{length of runner}}$$

Graph b/w diameter of runner and length of runner.





Hence length, height and diameter of the runner are determined by the designer according to the type of gate selected

STEP-4

In this step standard sprue is selected by the designer and its diameter is adjusted according to the diameter of runner at one end and other diameter is derived from the relation,

$\mathbf{D}_2 = \mathbf{D}_1 + 2\mathbf{L} \, \tan \mathbf{A}$

Where, D₁=diameter of runner/diameter of one end of sprue.

L= length of sprue selected by the designer

A= tapered angle $(2^0 \text{ to } 5^0)$

Hence sprue is selected with desired diameter, length and tapered angle.

Example

Volume = 214836.42 cubic millimeters

Surface area = 88624.93 millimeters^2



Example Part Model

International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Technology ISSN 2348-7593 (Online) Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (156-163), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

	🖬 "? · O) =			FINAL M.TECH - Micro	osoft Word	-		
	Home Insert	Page Layout	References Mailings Review View					AA ried -
	G Cut	Times New Ro	Gate Design Parameter		and a second second second second	AaBbCcI	AaB 🗄 🐴	and Find * ab Replace
Paste	Format Painter	втп	No. Of Cavities			Heading 3	Title - Change Styles -	k≩ Select ≠
			 Single 	 Multiple 	Input Cavity No.			G
					2 -			î
			Degating Type					
			 Manual 	Automatic				
			Input Paramters			-	×	
			Material	Polyacetal, polycarb	oona 🗸			
					Ok	Ring Gate		
			Volume (mm^3)	214836.42		' _	ок	
			Velocity	23	•			
			-		Cancel			
			Surface Area (mm^2) (A)	88624.93				
				00024.95				
								-
								-
								1
Page: 4	9 of 52 Words: 7.6	35 🕉					B M B 2 = 100%	• • •
			🔊 🙉 🐟 💷	1 10	Cardina and a			5:48 PM
								3/15/2013
93)	J = - () =			FINAL M.TECH - Micro	osoft Word	-		
	K Cut	Page Layout	References Mailings Review View					A Find *
Paste	- Copy	B I U -	abe X; X' Aa 2 - Gating System Design	AaBl		AaBbCcI ng 2 Heading 3	Title Change	als Replace
C	lipboard 🖓		Font				Styles	Editing
				Gating System	n Design			Ca -
				Gate Dec	ion			
				Gate Des	Igli			
				Runner De	sign			
				Sprue Desi	gn			
				Final Rest	alt			
								l l
								*
Page: 50	of 52 Words: 7,63	5 🎯						• • •
1		I <i>(</i>	N 🖉 🖉 📲		Contraction of the local division of the loc			5:49 PM 3/15/2013
	,							
🥢 Gatin	gProj (Running) - Mi	icrosoft Visual S	tudio					
File	Runner Design	- 10.000 (100	og Date funt for Minden Freis		8			
- 100	Runner D	Details			8	📷 🖞 🕨 🖬	■ □	
gate	Rur	mer Type	Trapezoidal	•			- ×	
🕫 Gat	Len	gth	80				-	
	Den	0	00	Runner type :Trap	ezoidal		^	
	Wid	ith	3247.40807180225	Diameter :1623.704	403590113			
				Width :3247.40807	180225			
	Dia	meter	1623.70403590113	rieght 1025.70405				
		aht	1623 70403590113	- (ОК			
	Hei	gnt	1025.70105550115					
							-	
			OK	Cancel			•	
Name							Lans *	
	A DATE AND A DECK						*	-
Ready	* gg watch I					Ch 13	INS	
	-	St		NO SUCCESSION	197	100	AND DECKORA	
player	113	12		A Starting			PAL NO	a start of the
					and the second s			5:51 PM

Research Publish Journals

International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Technology ISSN 2348-7593 (Online) Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (156-163), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

ngProj (Running) - Microsoft Visual Studio	×
Edit View Project Build Debug Data Tools Test Window Help	
desig Sprue Type : Standard	- ×
Sprue Height :80	
Diameter D1 1623.70403590113	
Sprue Height 80	
inner Design	
Angle 3 -	
rue Design	
,	
Ok Cancel inal Result	₽ ×
	-
Is Watch 1 Ch 13 INS	
	1
	5:52 PM
	3/13/2013
gProj (Ruminal Minute Minute State	X
gProj (Rue - Viewe Mont Ford)	3
gProj (Rue Le Minue Min	<u>.</u>
gProj (Rue Control Marcal Marca Marcal Marcal Mar	× ×
gProj Re Final Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 BigProj getting Maderial Nos of Cavity 2 Volume Material Polyacetal polycar	× ×
gProj (R → Final Result → Final Res	× ×
gProj (R Cate Design i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	× ×
gProj (R → E Chevel A Mond A	X X X
gProj (R 	× ×
gProj (R 	
gProj (R Final Result idit Vi Final Result idit Vi Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Degating Type automatic SurgProj or Material Polyacetal polycar Velocity Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Wath 3247.4080718022	× x • • •
gProj (R Final Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 Segince Segince Segince Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9639879072769 Runner Design Runner Design Runner Type Trapezoidal Height 1623.7040359011 Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Width 3247.4080718022 Spruse Digne tandard Height 80	× × ×
gProj Re Prinal Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 Begating Type automatic Surface Area 88624.93 Material Polyacetal polycar Velocity 23 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Runner Type Trapezoidal Height 1623.7040359011 Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Width 3247.4080718022 Spruse Design Spruse Type standard Height 80 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1632.0892805864	
gProj (R Final Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 Degating Type automatic Surface Area 88524.93 IngProj.e Material Polyacetal polycar Velocity 23 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Trapezoidal Height 1623.7040359011 Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Wath 3247.4080718022 Spruse Design Spruse Type Spruse Design Spruse Type standard Height 80 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1620.892805864 Image: Color C	
gProj (R Final Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 Degating Type automatic Surface Area 88624.93 IngProj of Material Polyacetal polycar Velocity 23 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Trapezoidal Height 1623.7040359011 Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Wdth 3247.4080718022 Spruse Design Spruse Type standard Height 80 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1632.0892805864	× X × X × A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
gProj Reveal Microsoft Active Result Gate Design Non of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 Degating Type automatic Surface Area 88624.93 IngProjo Material Polyacetal polycar Velocity 23 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Runner Design Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Width 3247.4080718022 Jardender 1623.000359011 Width 3247.4080718022 Jardender 1623.000359011 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1632.0892805864	
gProj (k v Final Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.94 Degating Type automatic Surface Area 88624.93 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Runner Type Trapezoidal Runner Type Trapezoidal Runner 1623.7040359011 Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 D2 1622.0892805864 Angle 0.0523598775598	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
gProj R Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 429672.84 Degeting Type automatic Surface Area 88624.93 Material Polyacetal polycer Velocity 23 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Width 5.9539879072769 Runner Design Runner Type Trapecoidal Height 1623.7040359011 Runner Length 80 Diameter 1623.7040359011 Width 3247.4080718022 Spruse Design Spruse Type standard Height 80 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1632.0892805664 Angle 0.0523598775538	
gProj W Final Result Gate Design Nor of Carly 2 Volume 429672.84 Segure Degating Type automatic Surface Area 88624.93 ImpProj Proj Proj Proje matemal Polyacetal polycar Velocity 23 Gate Thickness 3.1376328130016 Gate Weth 5.953997072769 Runner Design Tapescodal Height 1623.7040359011 Runner Type Tapescodal Height 1623.7040359011 Wath 3.247.4080718022 Spruse Design - Spruse Design Sonue Type #anderd Height 80 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1632.082205864 - Angle 0.0523598775598 - - -	
gProj W Final Result Gate Design Nos of Cavity 2 Volume 42672.84 Degating Type automatic Surface Area 89624.93 IngProj Ø Material Polyacetal polycar Velocity 23 Gate Thekness 3.1376328130016 Gate Wath 5.553879072769 Runner Design Runner Topesign Name Length 80 Runner Length 80 Dameter 1623.7040359011 Wath 3.247.4080718022 Spruse Design Spruse Type Spruse Design Spruse Atomated Height 80 D1 1623.7040359011 D2 1632.0892805864 Angle 0.052358775598 Ch 13	

III. CONCLUSION

When designing a gating system, it is very important to fill the molten metal to fill the cavity properly. Therefore, the design of gating system is very difficult. A proper runner and gating system is very important to secure good quality die castings through providing a homogenous mould filling pattern. For mouldings with multiple cavities, adjusting injection parameters of each cavity to the same level is particularly difficult. The resultant rate of failed product is untenable. The aim is to gain high levels of productivity and to reach a level of accuracy that meets the required conditions.

To achieve a good gating system design, the following aspect should be taken into consideration:

Firstly, total volume of the cavity, to be injected. In regular practice, a single cavity is chosen frequently. But by using a multi-cavity die will save labour cost and improve the production efficiency. The designer should consider the economic and technical issues in order to select an acceptable cavity number that meets the overall requirements.

International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Technology ISSN 2348-7593 (Online) Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (156-163), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Secondly, degating type selection which means to separate mouldings from the runner or the feeding system.

Thirdly, by increasing the gate thickness or area will result characteristics curve with reduced slope, so that the filling time and gate velocity vary accordingly. A larger gate area will decrease both the filling time and gate velocity. A low gate velocity will lead to high air entrapment and porosity. On the other hand low flow rate will result in poor casting surface; a short filling time gives good surface finish.

Modern injection moulding–especially in the 3C industry–needs less time to fabricate accurate products such as the cell phone with digital camera, the camera lens and the cell phone shell. To achieve the above mentioned goals in the current product development, the CAD/CAM is preferred method to provide essential part of solution. It provides the technology for the representation of design intent, design schemes and solution. CAD/CAM technology greatly enhances product design quality and shortens design and manufacturing lead time.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kumar, V., Madan, J., Gupta, P., (2010), "Cavity Layout Design Methodology", International Journal of Eng. Studies Volume 2,
- [2] Nee, A.Y.C., Fu, M.W., Fuh, J.Y.H., Lee, K.S., Zhang, Y.F. (1998), "Automatic determination of 3-D parting lines and surfaces in plastic injection mould design", Annals of CIRP, Vol.47, No.1, pp.95-98.
- [3] Fu, M.W., Fuh, J.Y.H., Nee, A.Y.C. (1999), "Generation of optimal parting direction based on undercut features in injection molded parts", IIE Trans No.31, pp.947-955.
- [4] Madan, J., Rao, P.V.M., and Kundra T.K. (2007), "Die-Casting Feature Recognition for Automated Parting Direction and Parting Line Determination", J. Comput. Inf. Sci. Eng. Volume 7, Issue 3, pp.236 248.
- [5] Ye, X.G., Lee, K.S., Fuh, J.Y.H., Zhang Y.F., Nee, A.Y.C. (2001), "Automatic initial design of injection mould", Int. J Mater Prod Technol16 (6-7), pp.592- 604.
- [6] Hu,B.H,Tong,K.K,Niu,X.P,Pinwill,I.,(1999),"Design and Optimization of Runner and Gating System for Die Casting Thin-Walled Magnesium Telecommunication parts through numerical simulation, ELSEVIER, 128±133.
- [7] A.C. Street, The Diecasting Book, 2nd Edition, Portcullis Press, 1986, pp3±17
- [8] E.A. Herman, Die Casting Dies: Design, North American Die Casting Association, 1992, pp. 15±24.
- [9] B.H. Hu, K.K.S. Tong, F.C. Yee, D. Sudheeran, K.T. Tan, Process diagnosis and optimisation in pressure die casting, Proceedings of the diagnosis and optimisation in pressure die casting, Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Die and Mould Technology, Taipei, Taiwan, Vol. A, 1995, pp. 137±142.
- [10] K.K.S. Tong, B.H. Hu, F.C. Yee, Industrial application of computer simulation in mould design for pressure die casting, Proceedings of International Conference on Mechanics of Solids and Materials Engineering, Singapore, Vol. A, 1995, pp. 235±240.
- [11] B.H. Hu, S.W. Hao, X.P. Niu, K.K.S. Tong, F.C. Yee, Optimisation of mould design in die casting of Pewter parts through numerical simulation, Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Computer Integrated Manufacturing, Singapore, Vol. 2, 1997,